Richard Guo's contribution to the Discussion of 'Parameterizing and Simulating from Causal Models' by Evans and Didelez

F. Richard Guo¹

¹Research Associate, Statistical Laboratory, University of Cambridge, UK, ricguo@statslab.cam.ac.uk

Oct 19, 2023

I congratulate Evans and Didelez warmly on this innovative and inspiring paper. Here, I offer my interpretation of the approach in terms of two factorizations and a density ratio.

Any distribution over Z, X, Y can be factorized in two ways:

$$\left(P_{ZX}, P_{Y|Z,X}\right) \stackrel{C^{-1}}{\underset{C}{\hookrightarrow}} P_{ZXY} \stackrel{A^{-1}}{\underset{A}{\leftrightarrow}} \left(P_{ZX}, P_{Y|X}, \phi_{ZY|X}\right).$$
(1)

Factorization C^{-1} is the usual one; it is factorization A^{-1} that is the focus of the two authors. More concretely, suppose $\phi_{ZY|X}(z, y \mid x)$ is a conditional copula density, i.e., for every value of $x, (z, y) \mapsto \phi_{ZY|X}(z, y \mid x)$ is a density function over $[0, 1]^2$ with uniform margins. To obtain $A^{-1}(P_{ZXY})$, take P_{ZX} and $P_{Y|X}$ to be the corresponding component and let $\phi_{ZY|X=x}$ be the density function of $(F(Z \mid X = x), F(Y \mid X = x))$ for every x in the support of X. Conversely, to compose P_{XYZ} from the three pieces, we have

$$p_{ZXY}(z, x, y) = A \left(p_{ZX}, p_{Y|X}, \phi_{ZY|X} \right)$$

= $p_{ZX}(z, x) \underbrace{p_{Y|X}(y \mid x) \phi_{ZY|X}(F(z \mid x), F(y \mid x) \mid x)}_{=p_{Y|ZX}(y|z, x)},$ (2)

where $F(z \mid x)$ and $F(y \mid x)$ are defined by P_{ZX} and $P_{Y|X}$ respectively. Note that although P_{ZX} appear on both sides of Eq. (1), the relation between $P_{Y|Z,X}$ and the pair $(P_{Y|X}, \phi_{ZY|X})$ is not a separate bijection because $F(z \mid x)$ is needed to map one to the other. In other words, the map between $P_{Y|Z,X}$ and $(P_{Y|X}, \phi_{ZY|X})$ itself depends on P_{ZX} .

Suppose P_{ZXY}^* is a related distribution, of which the margin $P_{Y|X}^*$ is our model of interest. We require that P_{ZXY}^* is related to P_{ZXY} through a density ratio r, given by

$$\frac{p^*(z,x,y)}{p(z,x,y)} = r(z,x;p),$$

such that (i) r does not depend on y, (ii) r > 0 P-almost everywhere, and (iii) r can be identified from P. Then, by integrating out y on both sides of $p^*(z, x, y) = r(z, x; p) p(z, x, y)$, we have

$$r(z,x;p) = \frac{p^*(z,x)}{p(z,x)}, \quad p^*(y \mid z,x) = p(y \mid z,x).$$
(3)

That $p^*(z, x, y)$ being "cognate" with respect to p(z, x, y) amounts to choosing

$$r(z, x; p) = \frac{w(z \mid x)}{p(z \mid x)}$$

for some weight $w(z \mid x)$, such as $w(z \mid x) = p(z)$ for estimating ATE and $w(z \mid x) = p(z \mid x = 1)$ for estimating ATT.

With r(x, y; p) chosen and fixed, the frugal parametrization is to represent p (and hence p^*) through p_{ZX} , $p_{Y|X}^*$ and $\phi_{ZY|X}^*$, i.e., the following three pieces in box:

$$(P_{ZX}, P_{Y|Z,X}) \stackrel{C^{-1}}{\underset{C}{\hookrightarrow}} P_{ZXY} \stackrel{A^{-1}}{\underset{A}{\rightleftharpoons}} \left(\boxed{P_{ZX}}, P_{Y|X}, \phi_{ZY|X} \right) (P_{ZX}^*, P_{Y|Z,X}^*) \stackrel{C^{-1}}{\underset{C}{\hookrightarrow}} P_{ZXY}^* \stackrel{A^{-1}}{\underset{A}{\leftrightarrow}} \left(P_{ZX}^*, \boxed{P_{Y|X}^*}, \boxed{\phi_{ZY|X}^*} \right).$$

The likelihood can be obtained through

$$p(z, x, y) = \frac{p^*(z, x, y)}{r(z, x; p)} = \frac{A\left(p^*(z, x), p^*(y \mid x), \phi^*(z, y \mid x)\right)}{r(z, x; p)}$$
$$= \frac{A\left(p(z, x) r(z, x; p), p^*(y \mid x), \phi^*(z, y \mid x)\right)}{r(z, x; p)},$$

where the second line uses Eq. (3). When $\phi^*(z, y \mid x)$ is a conditional copula density, using Eq. (2), it follows that

$$p(z, x, y) = p(z, x) \underbrace{p^*(y \mid x) \phi^*(F^*(z \mid x), F^*(y \mid x) \mid x)}_{=p(y|z, x)}.$$
(4)

Indeed, by uniform margins of the copula, one can check that

$$\int p^*(y \mid x) \phi^*(F^*(z \mid x), F^*(y \mid x) \mid x) \, \mathrm{d}y = \int \mathrm{d}F^*(y \mid x) \phi^*(F^*(z \mid x), F^*(y \mid x) \mid x) = 1.$$

Further, in Eq. (4), the arguments of ϕ^* depends on $F^*(y \mid x)$ and $F^*(z \mid x)$: the former is derived from $p^*(y \mid x)$ and the latter is the conditional CDF pertaining to $p^*(z,x) = p(z,x) r(z,x;p)$, given by

$$F^*(z \mid x) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{z} p(z', x) r(z', x; p) \, \mathrm{d}z'}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(z', x) r(z', x; p) \, \mathrm{d}z'}.$$
(5)

Hence, Eq. (4) provides an explicit expression for p(z, x, y) in terms of p_{ZX} , $p_{Y|X}^*$ and $\phi_{ZY|X}^*$, which depends on the pre-specified density ratio r(x, y; p) through Eq. (5). Multiplying Eq. (4) by the density ratio simply yields the expression for $p^*(z, x, y)$.

Example 1 (Sequentially randomized trial). For Fig. 1, with $r(a, l, b; p) = p(b)/p(b \mid a, l)$, we can parametrize P and P^* in terms of the three pieces in box below:

$$P(A, L, B, Y) \stackrel{A^{-1}}{\underset{A}{\rightleftharpoons}} \left(\boxed{P_{ALB}}, P_{Y|AB}, \phi_{YL|AB} \right)$$
$$P^*(A, L, B, Y) \stackrel{A^{-1}}{\underset{A}{\rightleftharpoons}} \left(P^*_{ALB}, \boxed{P^*_{Y|AB}}, \boxed{\phi^*_{YL|AB}} \right)$$

Example 2 (Partially marginal model). Suppose we have an observational study with baseline covariates $Z = (Z_1, Z_2)$, treatment X and outcome Y. Imagine that we want to study how Z_1 modifies the effect of X on Y. Hence, we want to choose $P^*(Z, X, Y)$ such that $P^*(Y \mid X, Z_1)$ aligns with our intended marginal model $P(Y \mid Z_1, do(X))$. In the meantime, we need to use



Figure 1: (a) P(A, L, B, Y), (b) $P^*(A, L, B, Y)$.

both Z_1 and Z_2 to control for the confounding between X and Y. This is called a "partially" marginal model because the marginal model is conditional on a partial collection of baseline covariates. To facilitate this analysis, we can choose density ratio

$$r(z_1, z_2, x; p) = p(x)/p(x \mid z_1, z_2)$$

and parametrize p (and hence p^*) in terms of

$$p(z_1, z_2, x), \quad p^*(y \mid z_1, x), \quad \phi^*_{Z_2, Y \mid Z_1, X}.$$